

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/634,655	Applicant(s) LASHINSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Urmi Chattopadhyay	Art Unit 3738	

All Participants:
Status of Application: After Final

 (1) Urmi Chattopadhyay.

(3) _____.

 (2) David L. Hauser.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 November 2005
Time: 1:10pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

 If Yes, provide a brief description: *Not applicable.*
Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

24-45


Prior art documents discussed:

None
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed canceling claims 24-45 for being drawn to a non-elected invention.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)